# $\pi$-Allyl cation cyclisations initiated by silver(I)-promoted electrocyclic ring opening of ring-fused gem-dibromocyclopropanes possessing tethered nucleophiles: the influence of chiral auxiliaries on the diastereoselectivity of cyclisations involving meso-substrates 

Martin Banwell,* Alison Edwards, Joanne Harvey, David Hockless and Anthony Willis

Research School of Chemistry, Institute of Advanced Studies, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 30th March 2000, Accepted 1st June 2000
Published on the Web 23rd June 2000

The epimeric pairs of ring-fused gem-dibromocyclopropanes $17 / 18$ and $27 / 28$, each of which possesses an internal plane of symmetry and has a tethered carbamate moiety with an associated chiral auxiliary, react with silver perchlorate to give, in a diastereoselective manner, the corresponding pairs of azabicyclic compounds, 19/20 and 29/30 respectively.

Ring-fused gem-dibromocyclopropanes are generally easy to prepare (normally via addition of dibromocarbene to the corresponding cycloalkene) ${ }^{1}$ and often engage in thermal- or silver(I)-promoted electrocyclic ring-cleavage of the threemembered ring ${ }^{2}$ to give $\pi$-allyl cations. These latter species can be trapped, in an inter- or intra-molecular fashion, ${ }^{1}$ by various carbon- and hetero-atom centred nucleophiles. ${ }^{3,4}$ The cyclisation reactions associated with the intramolecular trapping processes generate new polycyclic compounds that possess a cycloalkenyl bromide moiety capable of participating in $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalysed cross-coupling reactions. Thus, for example, treatment of either epimer of gem-dibromocyclopropane $\mathbf{1}$ with silver perchlorate results in the smooth and near quantitative formation of the hexahydroindole $\mathbf{2},{ }^{38}$ a compound that readily engages in Suzuki cross-couplings ${ }^{5}$ with aryl boronic acids. One of the products of such a coupling reaction has been exploited ${ }^{3 g, 3 h}$ in a concise synthesis of the alkaloidal degradation product $\gamma$-lycorane. On the basis of this and a modest

number of additional examples, ${ }^{3 a, 3 b, 3,5,4}$ the title reactions would seem to offer considerable potential in chemical synthesis. At present, however, this type of methodology is under-utilised, perhaps because the basic scope and limitations of such chemistry remain largely undefined. Consequently, we now report that $\pi$-allyl cations derived from electrocyclic ring-opening of meso-compounds such as 6,6-dibromobicyclo[3.1.0]hexanes possessing a carbamate unit tethered through C-3 (e.g. 3) are subject to diastereoselective ring-closure when the nucleophile has a chiral auxiliary associated with it. ${ }^{6}$ The reactions described herein should prove valuable for the synthesis, in enantiopure form, of various azabicyclic species ${ }^{7}$ related to bioactive natural products such as epibatidine ${ }^{8}$ and anatoxin-a (very fast death factor). ${ }^{3 b, 3 f, 9}$

Syntheses of the epimeric forms of meso-substrates of the general type $3(n=1)$ are shown in Scheme 1. All start with
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Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions (i) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ ( 0.8 mol equiv.), THF, $66^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1.9 mol equiv.), pyridine, $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{CHBr}_{3}$ (excess), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, 50 \%$ aq. NaOH , TEBAC, $0-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 42 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\left(1.7\right.$ mol equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 2.1 mol equiv.), 2,6-lutidine ( 2.3 mol equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.66 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) TMGA ( 3.5 mol equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-60-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6.33 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (excess), $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}$ on C, THF, $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (viii) (-)-menthyl-, ( - -8-phenylmenthyl- or ( $1 R$ )-trans-2-phenylcyclohexyl chloroformate ( 3.33 mol equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{ix}) \mathrm{AgClO}_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mol}$ equiv.), THF, $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$; (x) $\mathrm{NaOCH}_{3}$ ( 28 mol equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (sealed tube), 48 h then HCl (gas, excess), $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.1 \mathrm{~h}$ then ( - )-menthyl chloroformate or ( - )-8-phenylmenthyl chloroformate ( 3.5 mol equiv.), pyridine, $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$. TEBAC $=$ triethylbenzylammonium chloride.

Table 1 Diastereomeric ratios of products obtained from the title cyclisation reactions
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\hline & \text { Entry } & \text { Substrate(s) } & \text { Auxiliary }\left(\mathrm{R}^{*}\right)\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Ratio of product } \\ \text { diastereomers }\end{array}\right]$
${ }^{a}$ Substrates were subjected to the title reaction either individually or as an epimeric mixture. The product ratios were the same in each case. ${ }^{b}$ Substrates were subjected to the title reaction as an epimeric mixture.









Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions (i) $\mathrm{NaCN}\left(21.5 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ equiv.), DMPU, $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 68 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (excess), $\mathrm{PtO}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) ( - )-menthyl-, ( - )-8-phenylmenthyl- or ( - )-( $1 R$ )-trans-2-phenylcyclohexyl chloroformate (ca. 2.0 mol equiv.), pyridine, $0-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) AgClO 4 ( 10.0 mol equiv.), DME, $3 \AA$ molecular sieves, $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 19 \mathrm{~h}$.
conversion of the known acid $\mathbf{4}^{10}$ into the corresponding alcohol $5^{11}(95 \%)$, the acetate derivative $6(97 \%)$ of which readily reacts with dibromocarbene generated under Makosza conditions. ${ }^{1}$ The resulting $c a .2: 1$ mixture of adducts $7 \dagger$ and $\mathbf{8}(81 \%$ combined yield) was then hydrolysed to the corresponding mixture of alcohols $9\left(\mathrm{mp}=46-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $10(95 \%$ combined yield). The latter mixture was subjected to reaction with triffic anhydride and the resulting triflates, 11 and 12, immediately treated with tetramethylguanidinium azide (TMGA) ${ }^{12}$ so as to form the corresponding azides, 13 and 14 ( $86 \%$ combined yield) respectively. Reduction of the latter compounds under hydrogenolysis conditions ${ }^{13}$ gave a ca. 2:1 mixture of amines, $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 16, which reacted with triethylamine and either ( - )-menthyl chloroformate (Aldrich), ( - )-8-phenylmenthyl chloroformate ${ }^{14}$ or $(-)-(1 R)$-trans-2-phenylcyclohexyl chloroformate ${ }^{15}$ to give the corresponding pairs of epimeric carbamates. These could be separated from one another by flash chromatography and in this manner pure samples of compounds $\mathbf{1 7 a}\{74 \%$ from 16, $\left.[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-33(c 1.8) \ddagger\right\}, \mathbf{1 7 b}\left\{68 \%\right.$ from 16, $\mathrm{mp}=117-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $\left.[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-27(c 1.0)\right\}, \mathbf{1 7 c}\left\{50 \%\right.$ from 16, $\left.[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-4(c 1.7)\right\}$, 18a $\left\{74 \%\right.$ from 15, $\left.\mathrm{mp}=101-103^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-35(c 1.0)\right\}, \mathbf{1 8 b}\{68 \%$ from 15, $\left.\mathrm{mp}=90-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-36(c 1.4)\right\}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 c}\{50 \%$ from (15), $\left.[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-6(c 1.3)\right\}$ were obtained. The structure of carbamate 18b was determined by single-crystal X-ray analysis§ which served to establish the anti-relationship between the cyclopropyl and side-chain moieties and, thereby, the structures of all of the related compounds $17 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}, 18 \mathrm{a}$ and 18c.

Treatment of compound 18a with silver perchlorate in THF at $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in its smooth conversion into a $3: 7$ mixture (as determined by GLC analysis) of the diastereoisomeric 6-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-enes 19a $\left\{26 \%,[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=18\right.$ (c 1.6) $\}$ and 20a $\left\{60 \%, \mathrm{mp}=87-88^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-121(c 1.7)\right\}$ (Table 1). These products could be separated from one another by flash chromatography and the structure of compound 20a was established by single-crystal X-ray analysis.§ Reaction of epimer 17a under analogous conditions gave the same mixture of products in $63 \%$ (combined) yield. $\dagger$ Related cyclisations were carried out using substrates $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ each of which afforded a separable and ca. 2:3 mixture of products 19b $\left.\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=20(c) 0.5\right)\right\}$ and 20b $\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-136(c 0.8)\right\}$ in 67-73\% combined yield. Similarly, each of compounds 17c and 18c gave a separable and ca.2:9 mixture of 6-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-enes 19c $\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=21(c \quad 0.2)\right\}$ and 20c $\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-99(c 1.9)\right\}$ in $84 \%$ combined yield. The structures of compounds 20b and 20c were established via their independent synthesis from congener 20a. Thus, carbamate 20a was hydrolysed to the corresponding amine which was immediately
treated with ( - )-menthyl chloroformate or ( - )-8-phenylmenthyl chloroformate to give authentic samples of compounds 20 b and $\mathbf{2 0 c}$, respectively.

The next higher homologues of substrates 17a-c and 18a-c, $v i z$. compounds $27 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ and $28 \mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$, were prepared by the route shown in Scheme 2. Thus, the mixture of mesylates 21 and 22 derived ${ }^{16}$ from the corresponding ca. 2:1 mixture of alcohols $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ was converted into the nitriles, 23 and 24 ( $83 \%$ combined yield), by reaction with sodium cyanide in $N, N^{\prime}$-dimethylpropyleneurea (DMPU). Hydrogenation of the latter compounds using $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ as catalyst and in the presence of chloroform afforded the hydrochloride salts of amines 25 and 26 which were immediately treated with pyridine and either ( - )-menthyl chloroformate, ( - )-8-phenylmenthyl chloroformate or $(-)-(1 R)$-trans-2-phenylcyclohexyl chloroformate. In this fashion inseparable and $c a$. 2:1 mixtures of carbamates $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ and 28b [ $56 \%$ combined yield from precursors 23 and 24] and compounds 27c and 28c ( $71 \%$ combined yield) were obtained. In contrast, congeners 27a $\{51 \%$ from (23), $\mathrm{mp}=87-$ $\left.89^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-29(c 0.8)\right\}$ and 28a $\{51 \%$ from (24), $\mathrm{mp}=108-$ $\left.109^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-32(c 0.5)\right\}$ could be separated from one another by HPLC. Reaction of compounds 27 a and 28a, either independently or as a $2: 1$ mixture, with silver perchlorate afforded, in 58\% combined yield, a ca. 5:9 mixture of the 2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-7-enes 29a $\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=49\right.$ (c 0.5) \} and 30a $\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-131(c 0.6)\right\}$ (Table 1) which were separated from one another by HPLC. Substrates 27c and 28c behaved in a similar manner and afforded, in $33 \%$ combined yield, a ca. 3:11 mixture of products 29c $\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=110\right.$ (c 0.9) \} and 30c $\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-108\right.$ (c 1.5) $\}$. Reaction of compounds $\mathbf{2 7 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ under the same conditions gave, in $54 \%$ combined yield, a ca. 5:6 mixture of 2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-7-enes (29b) $\left\{\mathrm{mp}=85-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=102\right.$ (c 0.4 ) \} and 30b $\left\{[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-145\right.$ (c 0.2) \}. Each of the abovementioned 2-azabicyclo[3.3.1]non-7-enes was accompanied by as yet uncharacterised hydrolysis products. The structure of compound 29b, the minor cyclisation product derived from precursors 27b and 28b, was established by single-crystal X-ray analysis.§ This observation, together with the fact that the specific rotations for all of compounds 20a-c and 30a-c are of the same (negative) sign, effectively implies that the absolute stereochemistries of the azabicyclic ring systems associated with the major cyclisation products are equivalent for the entire series of reactions reported herein.

The origins of the, thus far, modest diastereoselectivities observed in the above-mentioned cyclisation reactions (see Table 1) are the subject of ongoing studies. At this point it
seems reasonable to suggest that since the highest diastereomeric excesses are observed with the 8 -phenylmenthyl-based auxiliary, ${ }^{17} \pi$-stacking effects could be used to advantage in enhancing the selectivities associated with the title processes.

## Experimental

## Formation of compounds 19 c and 20c

A magnetically stirred solution of carbamate $\mathbf{1 8 c}(135 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.256 mmol ) in THF ( 7.0 ml ) maintained under a nitrogen atmosphere at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated, in one portion, with silver perchlorate ( $104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.502 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After 7 h the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ which was washed with dichloromethane ( 20 ml ). The combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure (CAUTION-use a blast shield and do not heat) to give a brown oil which was subjected to flash chromatography (silica gel, 1:7 v/v ethyl acetate-hexane elution). In this manner two fractions, A and B , were obtained.

Concentration of fraction A ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.4$ ) afforded the 6 -aza-bicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-ene $19 \mathrm{c}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%)$ as a clear colourless oil (Found $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 447.1597. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32}{ }^{81} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 447.1596$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30-7.19$ (complex m, 4H), $7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88(\mathrm{~s})$ and $5.79(\mathrm{~s})($ rotamers, \| 1 H ), $4.82(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ (rotamers, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $2.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ (rotamers, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.31$ (complex m, 2H), $2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.42$ (complex m, 8H), $1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.08$ (complex m, 2 H ), $1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.83$ (partially concealed m, 1H), $0.87(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; v_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 2953$, 2923, 1697, 1407, 1324, 1234, 1104, 1030, 763 and $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 447(1 \%)$ and 445 (1) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}{ }^{\bullet}\right], 234$ (56) and $232(58), 119(100)\left(\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{+}\right), 118(75)$ $\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{+\bullet}\right], 91(67)\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}^{+}\right)$.

Concentration of fraction B ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.2$ ) afforded the 6 -aza-bicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-ene 20c ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ) as a clear colourless oil (Found $\mathrm{M}^{+\bullet}, 447.1596 . \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32}{ }^{81} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{M}^{+\bullet}$, 447.1596). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.33-7.23$ (complex $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86($ broad s) and $5.72(\mathrm{broad} \mathrm{s})(\mathrm{rotam}-$ ers, 1 H ), $4.78(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.6$ and 4.3 Hz ) and $4.71(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.7$ and 4.3 Hz ) (rotamers, 1 H ), $4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $2.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ (rotamers, 1 H ), 3.43 (ddd, $J=10.7,5.9$ and 1.9 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09$ (d, $J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dm}, J=18.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.18-1.79 (complex m, 6H), 1.70-1.57 (complex m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\operatorname{broad} \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s})$ and $1.33(\mathrm{~s})$ (rotamers, 3 H ), $1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 153.0$ and 152.9 (rotamers, C), $127.8(\mathrm{CH}), 127.1(\mathrm{CH}), 125.2(\mathrm{CH}), 124.4(\mathrm{CH}), 123.2$ and 123.0 (rotamers, C), 75.0 and 74.5 (rotamers, CH), 59.9 and 58.8 (rotamers, CH ), 52.5 and 50.8 (rotamers, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 50.8 and 50.6 (rotamers, CH ), 42.6 and 41.9 (rotamers, C), $39.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $36.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 35.8$ and 35.6 (rotamers, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 35.0 and 34.7 (rotamers, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 31.7 and 31.3 (rotamers, CH or $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 30.8 $\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 26.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.1\left(\mathrm{CH}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $21.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, one signal due to a low field quaternary carbon not observed; $v_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) 2954,2924,1693,1415,1329,1274,1108$, 788 and $763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; m / z 447(3 \%)$ and 445 (3) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+\ominus}\right], 234$ (70) and 232 (72), 119 (100) ( $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{+}$), 118 (87) [ $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{\left.+{ }^{+}\right], 105(52), 91}$ (69) $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}{ }^{+}\right)$.
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## Notes and references

$\dagger$ All new and stable compounds had spectroscopic data [IR, UV, NMR, mass spectrum] consistent with the assigned structure. Satisfactory combustion and/or high-resolution mass spectral analytical data were obtained for new compounds and/or suitable derivatives.
$\ddagger$ All optical rotations were determined in chloroform solution at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and are given in $10^{-1} \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$. Concentrations $(c)$ are given in g 100 $\mathrm{ml}^{-1}$.
§ Crystal data for compound 18b: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \quad M=451.24, T=$ 193 K , orthorhombic, space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ (\#19), $Z=4, a=7.080$ (3), $b=9.637(1), c=29.064(2) \AA, U=1982.9(9) \AA^{3}, \mu(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=52.94 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, 1730 unique data ( $2 \Theta_{\max }=119.9^{\circ}$ ), 1605 with $I>3 \sigma(I) ; R=0.033$, $R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.041, S=2.56$.
Crystal data for compound 20a: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}, M=390.32, T=193$ K , orthorhombic, space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ (\#19), $Z=4, a=9.591(2)$, $b=12.8947(9), c=14.871(2) \AA, U=1839.2(4) \AA^{3}, \mu(\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=31.38$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, 5862 reflections measured, 2738 unique $\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.037,2 \Theta_{\text {max }}=\right.$ $\left.120.1^{\circ}\right), 2682$ with $I>2 \sigma(I) ; R=0.020, R_{w}=0.026, S=1.17$.

Crystal data for compound 29b: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}, M=384.36$, $T=200(1) \mathrm{K}$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1}, Z=2, a=8.4008(5)$, $b=9.9077(6), \quad c=11.8739(8) \quad \AA, \quad \beta=97.278(4)^{\circ}, \quad U=980.3(5) \AA^{3}$, $\mu(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=21.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 9089$ reflections measured, 3263 unique $\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.048,2 \Theta_{\max }=25.0^{\circ}\right), 2453$ with $I>3 \sigma(I) ; R=0.042, R_{w}=0.045$, $S=1.18$.

The structures of 18b and 20a were refined by full-matrix least squares analysis on $F$ using the teXsan structure analysis software of Molecular Structure Corporation. ${ }^{18}$ Data for compound 29b were extracted using the DENZO package. ${ }^{19}$ Structure solution was by direct methods (SIR92) ${ }^{20}$ and refinement was by full matrix least-squares on $F$ using the maXus program package. ${ }^{21}$ CCDC reference number 207/442. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/p1/b0/b002525i/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

- These observations indicate that the cyclisation process is insensitive to the stereochemical relationship between the tethered nucleophile and cyclopropyl moiety. In our view, such observations also suggest the intermediacy of a discrete and common $\pi$-allyl cation.
|| For an up-to-date discussion regarding the barriers to rotations in carbamates see C. Cox and T. Lectka, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 2426.
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